

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,351	03/22/2004	Jae-Ryong Park	1572.1207	9009
21171 7590 04/13/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	
· · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Office Action Summer:	10/805,351	PARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	ON.  imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	rom consideration. owed.	cation.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 26 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e. are mutually exclusive.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 26 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenberg '840 in view of Morino et al.

There is disclosed in Hedenberg a bread maker comprising a main body 200 having an oven compartment 212 with a front opening, a door 204 to open and close the front opening, a pair of kneading drums 230, 232 inside the compartment, a mixing bag 100, a drum driver (not shown) to rotate the drums, a heater (col. 2, lines 46,47) inside the oven compartment, and a heater driver (not shown) to operate the heater, the

Application/Control Number: 10/805,351

Art Unit: 1761

bread maker comprising: a controller (col. 4, lines 25-60) controlling the rotation of the drums and operation of the heater.

Morino discloses, in a bread maker, a door sensor and controller 147, 148a for controlling the kneading operation and heating operation of the bread maker as a result of the sensor sensing if the door is open or closed. Additionally, Morino discloses a display means 198 to warn the user that the door is open.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the bread maker of Hedenberg with the door sensor and control taught in Morino, in order to prevent operation of the device if the door is open.

In regards to the kneading drum rotation direction and calculation of a predetermined elapsed time, such is an operational step of the device and is a result of programming of the controller. There is no structural limitations being defined.

The claim fails to disclose a means for calculating the elapsed time.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 21 and 23-25 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's recitation of elapsed time calculation, as presented in the claims, is operational and not structural. All of the structural limitations of the claims have been met by the prior art. The operation of the controller can be set by the user. Thus, this limitation has not been given patentable weight. There is nothing in the prior art which

Application/Control Number: 10/805,351

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

would preclude the controller from operating in the manner recited by Applicant in the claims. Applicant has failed to recite any structure associated with the controller that would measure or calculate elapsed time. Thus, the claimed controller is not structurally different than that disclosed in the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla 06 April 2007 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761